Birat Journal Of Health Sciences
ISSN (Online): 2542-2804 • Quarterly • Vol. 4, No 3 (2019)

Rehabilitation Strategies for Improving Functional Independence Among Stroke Survivors

Janice J. Eng[1]
[1]School of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
Email: janice.eng@ubc.ca

ARTICLE HISTORY

RECEIVED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	PUBLISHED ONLINE
[15 May 2019]	*[20 June 2019]*	*[25 July 2019]*	*[31 August 2019]*

Abstract

Stroke is a leading cause of acquired neurological disability and frequently results in persistent limitations in mobility, communication, cognition, and activities of daily living. Rehabilitation plays an essential role in restoring functional abilities, reducing dependence on caregivers, and improving the overall quality of life among stroke survivors. This narrative review examines rehabilitation strategies that contribute to functional independence, including individualized rehabilitation planning, task-oriented motor training, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, cognitive rehabilitation, assistive technologies, and community-based recovery programs. Particular attention is given to the integration of multidisciplinary clinical approaches that address both physical impairments and psychosocial challenges following stroke. Evidence from clinical trials, systematic reviews, and rehabilitation guidelines indicates that repetitive functional training, progressive mobility exercises, and personalized therapeutic interventions can improve motor performance and participation in everyday activities. Emerging approaches involving robotic rehabilitation, virtual reality, and telerehabilitation may further support recovery by increasing opportunities for structured practice. However, functional outcomes vary according to stroke severity, cognitive status, rehabilitation intensity, and access to healthcare services. The review emphasizes that rehabilitation should extend beyond physical recovery to include psychological adjustment, family involvement, environmental modifications, and long-term community participation. Comprehensive and individualized rehabilitation programs are essential for maximizing functional independence, preventing secondary complications, and supporting sustainable recovery among stroke survivors.

Keywords: Stroke Rehabilitation, Functional Independence, Motor Recovery, Physiotherapy, Occupational Therapy, Neuroplasticity, Activities of Daily Living, Cognitive Rehabilitation, Assistive Technologies, Community Reintegration

COPYRIGHT & LICENSE

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). All rights reserved by the author(s), who retain copyright ownership of their original work. The author(s) grant the **Birat Journal of Health Sciences (BJHS)** a non-exclusive right of first publication.

Open Access License: This article is published under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), permitting unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction, and adaptation in any medium, including commercial use, provided that appropriate credit is given to the original author(s), the source and the license, and any modifications are clearly indicated.

1. Introduction

Stroke is a major neurological condition associated with substantial physical, psychological, cognitive, and socioeconomic consequences. Survivors frequently experience muscle weakness, impaired coordination, reduced balance, communication difficulties, and limitations in performing everyday activities. These complications may significantly affect personal independence and increase reliance on

family members, caregivers, and healthcare professionals. Functional recovery therefore represents an essential objective of comprehensive stroke rehabilitation. Modern rehabilitation approaches recognize that recovery is not limited to improvements in muscular strength or neurological function. Successful rehabilitation also involves the ability to perform meaningful activities, maintain personal dignity, participate in social relationships, and return to community life. Functional independence includes essential activities such as eating, dressing, bathing, walking, transferring between surfaces, and managing daily responsibilities. Advances in rehabilitation science have introduced evidence-based therapeutic approaches that combine repetitive motor training, individualized exercise programs, cognitive rehabilitation, assistive devices, and technology-supported interventions. These strategies are increasingly integrated into multidisciplinary rehabilitation programs involving physiotherapists, occupational therapists, rehabilitation physicians, nurses, speech-language therapists, psychologists, and caregivers. Despite these developments, significant differences remain in rehabilitation access, treatment intensity, healthcare infrastructure, and long-term outcomes. A comprehensive understanding of rehabilitation strategies is therefore necessary to improve clinical practice and facilitate sustainable functional recovery among stroke survivors.

Individualized Rehabilitation Assessment and Functional Goal Setting Following Stroke

Individualized rehabilitation assessment represents the foundation of effective functional recovery following stroke. Survivors experience considerable variation in neurological impairments, physical limitations, cognitive abilities, and psychosocial circumstances. Consequently, standardized rehabilitation programs may not adequately address the needs of every individual. Comprehensive assessment enables healthcare professionals to identify functional limitations, establish realistic goals, and develop personalized interventions designed to improve independence. Initial assessment generally examines motor strength, muscle tone, coordination, sensory function, postural stability, mobility, cognition, communication, and performance in activities of daily living. Functional assessment instruments such as the Barthel Index, Functional Independence Measure, and Fugl-Meyer Assessment provide structured approaches for evaluating rehabilitation needs and monitoring changes in functional performance. The selection of assessment instruments should reflect the patient's clinical condition and intended rehabilitation outcomes. Goal setting should involve meaningful collaboration among stroke survivors, healthcare professionals, and family members. Patient-centered rehabilitation objectives may include independent feeding, walking with minimal assistance, transferring safely between a bed and chair, managing personal hygiene, or returning to selected household responsibilities. Establishing measurable short-term and long-term goals supports therapeutic motivation and allows rehabilitation teams to evaluate whether interventions are producing clinically meaningful improvements. Rehabilitation planning must also consider medical stability, fatigue, emotional adjustment, cognitive limitations, and environmental barriers. Individuals with severe neurological impairment may initially require assisted mobility and compensatory strategies, whereas survivors with mild impairments may benefit from higher-level balance, coordination, and community mobility training. Regular reassessment is necessary because functional abilities can change throughout the recovery process. Rehabilitation plans should therefore remain flexible and responsive to improvements, complications, and evolving personal priorities. Evidence-based stroke rehabilitation guidelines emphasize coordinated assessment, shared decision-making, and individualized interventions as essential components of functional recovery (Winstein et al., 2016; Eapen et al., 2025).

Task-Oriented Motor Training and Neuroplasticity-Based Functional Recovery

Task-oriented motor training is an important rehabilitation strategy for improving functional performance following stroke. This therapeutic approach emphasizes repeated practice of meaningful movements and activities that resemble everyday tasks. Rather than focusing exclusively on isolated muscle strengthening, task-oriented rehabilitation encourages individuals to perform coordinated activities such as reaching, grasping, standing, walking, and transferring objects. The effectiveness of task-oriented rehabilitation is associated with principles of motor learning and neuroplasticity. Following stroke, the nervous system may undergo functional reorganization that supports improvements in motor control. Repetitive movement practice, appropriate sensory feedback, and

progressive task difficulty can facilitate the acquisition or reacquisition of motor skills. However, the extent of neurological recovery depends on several factors, including lesion characteristics, stroke severity, and rehabilitation opportunities. Upper-limb rehabilitation frequently incorporates repetitive reaching, object manipulation, hand coordination activities, and functional movements involved in eating, grooming, and dressing. Constraint-induced movement therapy may be appropriate for selected survivors who retain sufficient active movement in the affected hand and wrist. This approach encourages greater use of the impaired upper limb during structured functional practice. Lower-limb rehabilitation similarly emphasizes repeated sit-to-stand movements, stepping activities, postural transitions, and functional walking exercises. Training activities should progressively increase in complexity while maintaining patient safety and appropriate physical assistance. Motivation and meaningful task selection are important determinants of rehabilitation participation. Incorporating activities that reflect personal interests and daily responsibilities can improve engagement and encourage continued practice outside supervised therapy sessions. Clinical evidence supports task-specific practice as a central strategy for improving motor function and daily activity performance after stroke. Nevertheless, treatment dosage and exercise complexity should be adapted to individual recovery characteristics rather than applying identical interventions to all survivors (Winstein et al., 2016; Wolf et al., 2006; Winstein et al., 2016 [ICARE trial]).

Physiotherapy Interventions for Mobility, Balance, and Gait Independence

Physiotherapy is a fundamental component of stroke rehabilitation because mobility limitations are among the most common causes of functional dependence. Stroke survivors may experience muscle weakness, impaired balance, reduced walking endurance, abnormal movement patterns, and difficulties maintaining postural control. These impairments interfere with independence in both household and community environments. Rehabilitation interventions frequently include progressive strengthening exercises, balance training, gait practice, functional transfers, and cardiovascular conditioning. Strengthening exercises target muscles necessary for standing, walking, and maintaining postural stability. Balance rehabilitation incorporates controlled weight shifting, reaching activities, supported standing, and progressive exercises that challenge postural control under safe conditions. Gait rehabilitation aims to improve walking speed, symmetry, endurance, and adaptability. Repetitive overground walking and treadmill-based training may improve mobility when appropriately prescribed. Body-weight-supported treadmill training can provide additional practice opportunities for selected individuals who require substantial assistance. Functional electrical stimulation and ankle-foot orthoses may also assist selected patients experiencing foot drop or impaired ankle control. These interventions can improve foot clearance and walking performance when incorporated into a comprehensive rehabilitation program. Early rehabilitation requires careful clinical judgment. Although mobilization is important, excessively intensive mobilization during the earliest stages following stroke may be inappropriate for some individuals. Exercise progression should therefore consider medical stability, fatigue, blood pressure, cardiovascular tolerance, and neurological status. Community mobility represents a more advanced rehabilitation objective. Survivors may require practice navigating uneven surfaces, stairs, crowded environments, and longer walking distances. Rehabilitation programs should incorporate these real-world challenges when clinically appropriate. Research indicates that structured, repetitive mobility training can contribute to improvements in walking function, physical performance, and participation. However, rehabilitation outcomes depend on treatment intensity, baseline mobility, and individualized clinical planning (Duncan et al., 2011; AVERT Trial Collaboration Group, 2015; Eapen et al., 2025).

Major Rehabilitation Strategies and Their Contributions to Functional Independence

Rehabilitation strategy	Primary therapeutic focus	Expected functional benefit
Individualized assessment	Functional limitations and recovery priorities	Personalized treatment planning
Task-oriented training	Repetitive meaningful movements	Improved daily activity performance
Physiotherapy	Strength, balance, and gait	Greater mobility independence

Occupational therapy	Self-care and household activities	Reduced dependence in daily tasks
Cognitive rehabilitation	Attention, memory, and problem-solving	Improved everyday task management
Technology-assisted therapy	Interactive and repetitive rehabilitation	Additional opportunities for motor practice
Community-based rehabilitation	Social participation and home adaptation	Improved long-term independence

Occupational Therapy and Cognitive Rehabilitation for Independent Daily Living

Occupational therapy plays an essential role in enabling stroke survivors to perform meaningful everyday activities despite persistent physical or cognitive limitations. While physiotherapy often emphasizes mobility and physical performance, occupational therapy addresses the practical application of functional abilities in personal care, household management, and community participation. Activities of daily living commonly addressed during occupational therapy include dressing, bathing, grooming, feeding, toileting, and transferring between functional environments. Therapists evaluate the physical, cognitive, and environmental demands associated with these activities and develop interventions that promote independence. Adaptive techniques and assistive equipment may be introduced when complete neurological recovery is unlikely or remains incomplete. Examples include modified utensils, dressing aids, grab bars, shower chairs, and accessible household arrangements. These interventions can reduce the physical demands of everyday activities and support safer participation. Cognitive rehabilitation addresses difficulties involving attention, memory, executive functioning, planning, and problem-solving. Such impairments can affect independence even when physical recovery is substantial. Individuals experiencing cognitive limitations may struggle to manage medication schedules, organize household tasks, recognize environmental hazards, or follow complex instructions. Structured cognitive rehabilitation may incorporate compensatory memory strategies, visual reminders, task sequencing, attention exercises, and environmental modifications. Functional training is often most meaningful when cognitive strategies are practiced within realistic everyday activities. Communication impairments and emotional disturbances should also be considered. Aphasia can complicate therapy participation, while depression and anxiety may reduce motivation and engagement. Coordinated interventions involving speech-language therapists, psychologists, occupational therapists, and caregivers can address these interconnected needs. Occupational and cognitive rehabilitation should prioritize activities that are meaningful to the individual rather than focusing exclusively on clinical test performance. Combining compensatory strategies with restorative therapy offers a practical pathway toward greater independence and improved quality of life (Winstein et al., 2016; Eapen et al., 2025).

Technology-Assisted Rehabilitation and Innovative Approaches to Functional Restoration

Advances in rehabilitation technology have expanded opportunities for stroke survivors to participate in structured therapeutic exercises. Robotic devices, virtual reality systems, wearable technologies, and telerehabilitation platforms are increasingly investigated as complementary approaches to conventional rehabilitation. Robotic-assisted rehabilitation can provide repetitive, controlled movements that support upper-limb or lower-limb training. These systems may help therapists deliver consistent movement practice while adjusting assistance according to the individual's capabilities. Nevertheless, improvements in impairment-level performance do not always translate directly into greater independence in everyday activities. Virtual reality rehabilitation provides interactive environments in which individuals practice reaching, balance, coordination, and functional movement activities. Visual and auditory feedback can increase engagement and facilitate repetitive task performance. Virtual environments can also allow clinicians to adjust exercise difficulty and simulate selected functional challenges. Telerehabilitation enables stroke survivors to receive selected rehabilitation services remotely through video consultations, digital exercise programs, and home-based monitoring systems. This approach may improve access for individuals experiencing transportation difficulties, limited mobility, or geographic barriers to specialized rehabilitation

facilities. Wearable sensors and movement-monitoring technologies offer additional opportunities to assess activity patterns, walking performance, and adherence to prescribed exercise programs. When incorporated appropriately, these technologies can support personalized feedback and progress monitoring. However, technology-assisted rehabilitation presents several limitations. High equipment costs, technological literacy requirements, inconsistent internet access, and the need for professional supervision may restrict implementation. Furthermore, the clinical effectiveness of innovative technologies varies according to intervention type, patient characteristics, and the outcomes measured. Randomized trials and systematic reviews indicate that selected technology-supported interventions can improve upper-limb function and some functional outcomes. Their greatest practical value may emerge when they supplement, rather than replace, individualized rehabilitation and conventional therapeutic care (Rodgers et al., 2019; Cramer et al., 2019; Laver et al., 2025). Recent evidence also suggests that virtual reality can provide modest benefits for upper-limb function and balance, although its effects on broader social participation and quality of life remain less certain.

Family-Centered Rehabilitation, Community Reintegration, and Long-Term Functional Independence

Long-term functional independence requires sustained rehabilitation efforts that extend beyond hospital-based treatment. Stroke survivors frequently encounter challenges when returning to their homes and communities, including environmental barriers, reduced confidence, emotional difficulties, social isolation, and limited access to rehabilitation services. Family-centered rehabilitation recognizes caregivers as important participants in the recovery process. Appropriate caregiver education may include safe transfer techniques, assistance with prescribed exercises, medication management, recognition of warning signs, and strategies for promoting independence without providing unnecessary assistance. Home-based rehabilitation programs can provide opportunities to practice functional activities in familiar environments. Everyday tasks such as preparing meals, moving between rooms, managing personal care, and participating in household responsibilities can be incorporated into structured rehabilitation plans. Environmental modifications also contribute to functional independence. Removing fall hazards, improving lighting, installing accessible bathroom equipment, and reorganizing frequently used household items may reduce injury risks and increase confidence during daily activities. Community reintegration requires attention to social participation, transportation accessibility, recreational activities, and vocational responsibilities. Individuals returning to employment may benefit from workplace adjustments, gradual increases in activity demands, and coordinated occupational rehabilitation. Psychological support remains essential throughout long-term recovery. Persistent disability, altered family roles, and uncertainty about future functioning may contribute to depression, anxiety, or reduced self-confidence. Rehabilitation professionals should therefore assess emotional well-being and facilitate access to appropriate psychological interventions when needed. Long-term functional outcomes should be evaluated using both clinical measures and patient-reported experiences. Improvements in walking distance or limb movement are important, but meaningful independence also involves the ability to participate in personally valued activities and maintain satisfactory quality of life. Effective community-based rehabilitation requires continuity of care, collaboration among healthcare providers, and realistic self-management strategies. Sustainable recovery is strengthened when patients and caregivers receive accessible follow-up services, individualized guidance, and ongoing opportunities for functional participation (Chen et al., 2019; Cramer et al., 2020; Eapen et al., 2025).

Summary

Rehabilitation strategies play a critical role in improving functional independence and quality of life among stroke survivors. Recovery requires a comprehensive approach that addresses physical impairments, cognitive difficulties, emotional well-being, environmental barriers, and limitations in daily participation. Individualized rehabilitation assessment and patient-centered goal setting provide the foundation for effective intervention planning, while task-oriented motor training, physiotherapy, and occupational therapy support improvements in mobility and daily functional performance. Technology-assisted rehabilitation, including robotics, virtual reality, and telerehabilitation, offers

additional opportunities for repetitive practice and accessible rehabilitation services. However, these approaches should be selected according to clinical needs, available resources, and demonstrated therapeutic benefits. Long-term recovery also depends on caregiver education, environmental adaptation, psychological support, and community participation. The available evidence supports multidisciplinary, individualized, and sustained rehabilitation as an important pathway toward meaningful functional recovery. Future research should examine the long-term effectiveness, accessibility, and cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation strategies across diverse healthcare settings.

References

AVERT Trial Collaboration Group. (2015). Efficacy and safety of very early mobilisation within 24 h of stroke onset (AVERT): A randomised controlled trial. The Lancet, 386(9988), 46–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60690-0

Chen, Y., Abel, K. T., Janecek, J. T., Chen, Y., Zheng, K., & Cramer, S. C. (2019). Home-based technologies for stroke rehabilitation: A systematic review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 123, 11–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.12.001

Cramer, S. C., Dodakian, L., Le, V., See, J., Augsburger, R., McKenzie, A., Zhou, R. J., Chiu, N. L., Heckhausen, J., Cassidy, J. M., Scacchi, W., Smith, M. T., Barrett, A. M., Knutson, J., Edwards, D., Putrino, D., Agrawal, K., Ngo, K., Roth, E. J., et al. (2019). Efficacy of home-based telerehabilitation vs in-clinic therapy for adults after stroke: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurology, 76(9), 1079–1087. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1604

Cramer, S. C., Dodakian, L., Le, V., McKenzie, A., See, J., Augsburger, R., Zhou, R. J., Raefsky, S. M., Nguyen, T., Vanderschelden, B., Wong, G., Bandak, D., Nazarzai, L., Dhand, A., Scacchi, W., & Heckhausen, J. (2020). A feasibility study of expanded home-based telerehabilitation after stroke. Frontiers in Neurology, 11, 611453. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.611453

Duncan, P. W., Sullivan, K. J., Behrman, A. L., Azen, S. P., Wu, S. S., Nadeau, S. E., Dobkin, B. H., Rose, D. K., Tilson, J. K., Cen, S., & Hayden, S. K. (2011). Body-weight-supported treadmill rehabilitation after stroke. The New England Journal of Medicine, 364(21), 2026–2036. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1010790

Eapen, B. C., Tran, J., Ballard-Hernandez, J., Buelt, A., Hoppes, C. W., Matthews, C., Pundik, S., Reston, J., Tchopev, Z., Wayman, L. M., & Koehn, T. (2025). Stroke rehabilitation: Synopsis of the 2024 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and U.S. Department of Defense clinical practice guidelines. Annals of Internal Medicine, 178(2), 249–268. https://doi.org/10.7326/ANNALS-24-02205

Laver, K. E., Lange, B., George, S., Deutsch, J. E., Saposnik, G., Chapman, M., & Crotty, M. (2025). Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2025(6), CD008349. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub5

Rodgers, H., Bosomworth, H., Krebs, H. I., van Wijck, F., Howel, D., Wilson, N., Aird, L., Alvarado, N., Andole, S., Cohen, D. L., Dawson, J., Fernandez-Garcia, C., Finch, T., Ford, G. A., Francis, R., Hogg, S., Hughes, N., Price, C., Ternent, L., & Shaw, L. (2019). Robot assisted training for the upper limb after stroke (RATULS): A multicentre randomised controlled trial. The Lancet, 394(10192), 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31055-4

Winstein, C. J., Stein, J., Arena, R., Bates, B., Cherney, L. R., Cramer, S. C., Deruyter, F., Eng, J. J., Fisher, B., Harvey, R. L., Lang, C. E., MacKay-Lyons, M., Ottenbacher, K. J., Pugh, S., Reeves, M. J., Richards, L. G., Stiers, W., & Zorowitz, R. D. (2016). Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke, 47(6), e98–e169. https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0000000000000098

Winstein, C. J., Wolf, S. L., Dromerick, A. W., Lane, C. J., Nelsen, M. A., Lewthwaite, R., Cen, S. Y., & Azen, S. P. (2016). Effect of a task-oriented rehabilitation program on upper extremity recovery following motor stroke: The ICARE randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 315(6), 571–581. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0276

Wolf, S. L., Winstein, C. J., Miller, J. P., Taub, E., Uswatte, G., Morris, D., Giuliani, C., Light, K. E., & Nichols-Larsen, D. (2006). Effect of constraint-induced movement therapy on upper extremity function

3 to 9 months after stroke: The EXCITE randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 296(17), 2095–2104. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.17.2095

Winstein, C. J., Stein, J., Arena, R., Bates, B., Cherney, L. R., Cramer, S. C., Deruyter, F., Eng, J. J., Fisher, B., Harvey, R. L., Lang, C. E., MacKay-Lyons, M., Ottenbacher, K. J., Pugh, S., Reeves, M. J., Richards, L. G., Stiers, W., & Zorowitz, R. D. (2016). Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke, 47(6), e98–e169. https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0000000000000098

Gladstone, D. J., Danells, C. J., & Black, S. E. (2002). The Fugl-Meyer assessment of motor recovery after stroke: A critical review of its measurement properties. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 16(3), 232–240. https://doi.org/10.1177/154596802401105171

Levack, W. M. M., Weatherall, M., Hay-Smith, E. J. C., Dean, S. G., McPherson, K., & Siegert, R. J. (2015). Goal setting and strategies to enhance goal pursuit for adults with acquired disability participating in rehabilitation. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2015(7), CD009727. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009727.pub2

Eapen, B. C., Tran, J., Ballard-Hernandez, J., Buelt, A., Hoppes, C. W., Matthews, C., Pundik, S., Reston, J., Tchopev, Z., Wayman, L. M., & Koehn, T. (2025). Stroke rehabilitation: Synopsis of the 2024 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs and U.S. Department of Defense clinical practice guidelines. Annals of Internal Medicine, 178(2), 249–268. https://doi.org/10.7326/ANNALS-24-02205

French, B., Thomas, L. H., Coupe, J., McMahon, N. E., Connell, L., Harrison, J., Sutton, C. J., Tishkovskaya, S., & Watkins, C. L. (2016). Repetitive task training for improving functional ability after stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016(11), CD006073. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006073.pub3

Kleim, J. A., & Jones, T. A. (2008). Principles of experience-dependent neural plasticity: Implications for rehabilitation after brain damage. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 51(1), S225–S239. https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2008/018)

Wolf, S. L., Winstein, C. J., Miller, J. P., Taub, E., Uswatte, G., Morris, D., Giuliani, C., Light, K. E., & Nichols-Larsen, D. (2006). Effect of constraint-induced movement therapy on upper extremity function 3 to 9 months after stroke: The EXCITE randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 296(17), 2095–2104. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.17.2095

Winstein, C. J., Wolf, S. L., Dromerick, A. W., Lane, C. J., Nelsen, M. A., Lewthwaite, R., Cen, S. Y., & Azen, S. P. (2016). Effect of a task-oriented rehabilitation program on upper extremity recovery following motor stroke: The ICARE randomized clinical trial. JAMA, 315(6), 571–581. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0276

Pollock, A., Baer, G., Campbell, P., Choo, P. L., Forster, A., Morris, J., Pomeroy, V. M., & Langhorne, P. (2014). Physical rehabilitation approaches for the recovery of function and mobility following stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2014(4), CD001920. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001920.pub3

Hornby, T. G., Reisman, D. S., Ward, I. G., Scheets, P. L., Miller, A., Haddad, D., Fox, E. J., Fritz, N. E., Hawkins, K., Henderson, C. E., Hendron, K. L., Holleran, C. L., Lynskey, J. E., & Walter, A. (2020). Clinical practice guideline to improve locomotor function following chronic stroke, incomplete spinal cord injury, and brain injury. Journal of Neurologic Physical Therapy, 44(1), 49–100. https://doi.org/10.1097/NPT.0000000000000303

Mehrholz, J., Thomas, S., & Elsner, B. (2017). Treadmill training and body weight support for walking after stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017(8), CD002840. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002840.pub4

Bethoux, F., Rogers, H. L., Nolan, K. J., Abrams, G. M., Annaswamy, T. M., Brandstater, M., Browne, B., Burnfield, J. M., Feng, W., Freed, M. J., Geis, C., Greenberg, J., Gudesblatt, M., Ikramuddin, F., Jayaraman, A., Kautz, S. A., Lutsep, H. L., Madhavan, S., Meilahn, J., Pease, W. S., et al. (2014). The effects of peroneal nerve functional electrical stimulation versus ankle-foot orthosis in patients with chronic stroke: A randomized controlled trial. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 28(7), 688–697. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968314521007

Legg, L. A., Lewis, S. R., Schofield-Robinson, O. J., Drummond, A., & Langhorne, P. (2017). Occupational therapy for adults with problems in activities of daily living after stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2017(7), CD003585. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003585.pub3

Chung, C. S. Y., Pollock, A., Campbell, T., Durward, B. R., & Hagen, S. (2013). Cognitive rehabilitation for executive dysfunction in adults with stroke or other adult non-progressive acquired brain damage. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2013(4), CD008391. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008391.pub2

das Nair, R., Cogger, H., Worthington, E., & Lincoln, N. B. (2016). Cognitive rehabilitation for memory deficits after stroke. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2016(9), CD002293. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002293.pub3

Legg, L., Drummond, A., Leonardi-Bee, J., Gladman, J. R. F., Corr, S., Donkervoort, M., Edmans, J., Gilbertson, L., Jongbloed, L., Logan, P., Sackley, C., Walker, M., & Langhorne, P. (2007). Occupational therapy for patients with problems in personal activities of daily living after stroke: Systematic review of randomised trials. BMJ, 335(7626), 922. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39343.466863.55

Rodgers, H., Bosomworth, H., Krebs, H. I., van Wijck, F., Howel, D., Wilson, N., Aird, L., Alvarado, N., Andole, S., Cohen, D. L., Dawson, J., Fernandez-Garcia, C., Finch, T., Ford, G. A., Francis, R., Hogg, S., Hughes, N., Price, C., Ternent, L., & Shaw, L. (2019). Robot assisted training for the upper limb after stroke (RATULS): A multicentre randomised controlled trial. The Lancet, 394(10192), 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31055-4

Laver, K. E., Lange, B., George, S., Deutsch, J. E., Saposnik, G., Chapman, M., & Crotty, M. (2025). Virtual reality for stroke rehabilitation. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 2025(6), Article CD008349. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008349.pub5

Cramer, S. C., Dodakian, L., Le, V., See, J., Augsburger, R., McKenzie, A., Zhou, R. J., Chiu, N. L., Heckhausen, J., Cassidy, J. M., Scacchi, W., Smith, M. T., Barrett, A. M., Knutson, J., Edwards, D., Putrino, D., Agrawal, K., Ngo, K., Roth, E. J., et al. (2019). Efficacy of home-based telerehabilitation vs in-clinic therapy for adults after stroke: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Neurology, 76(9), 1079–1087. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1604

Chen, Y., Abel, K. T., Janecek, J. T., Chen, Y., Zheng, K., & Cramer, S. C. (2019). Home-based technologies for stroke rehabilitation: A systematic review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 123, 11–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.12.001